

GHIT R&D FORUM December 8, 2017



T2016-101

Host-directed drug targeting against tuberculosis

RIKEN Center for Life Technologies (CLST) Division of Genomic Technologies Group Director Harukazu Suzuki PhD.

Objective & Goals

Identification of host genes hijacked by *M. tuberculosis* (Mtb)

Goals: Host-directed drug development against Mtb

Supplementing pathogen-directed targeting by antibiotic treatments, would prolong the life span of antibiotics, thereby reducing the frequency of treatment failures.



http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/

Partnership

Professor Frank Brombacher (ICGEB, Cape Town) Pathogen Immunology: Mtb & NTD Mtb pathology

Tg/KO mice technology BSL3 laboratory

Interdisciplinary collaboration



SA: 50M population

Collaboration starting: 2010

Focus on Macrophage cells Macrophages are primary infected cells in TB. Two activation states:

classical activation: M1 (IFNg) – killing effectors

alternative activation: M2 (IL4/IL13) – Regulation of immune response

Protective and subversive mechanisms of mph genes in TB

Identification of validation candidates

Host-protective and subversive gene expression must be affected by Mtb. Macrophage-specific genes are suitable for drug targets.



CAGE Technology

FANTOM 5 Expression Atlas





Establishment of validation experiments

BSL3: Cape Town



Category: Priority targets with no drugs/inhibitors

Category: Priority targets with specific inhibitors

Category: Priority targets with repurposed drugs

Priority targets with no drugs/inhibitors

RSAD2



TB



Priority targets with no drugs/inhibitors

BCL2A



Priority targets with no drugs/inhibitors

CAMPK2

Human **MDM**



Mtb growth in MDM, 2 days



**

*,

Lentivirus constructs

*5

TB patients



Priority targets with specific inhibitors

Berberine, inhibitor of Daxx gene

Priority targets with repurposed drugs

IGF1 shRNA & Tyrophostin



Tyrophostin: IGFR1TK inhibitor

Summary

Creation of Mtb infection time course data and selection of validation candidates
Establishment of validation experiment
Preliminary experiment revealed promising results (although it needs reproducibility check)
Validation of additional candidates (Priority targets with no drugs/inhibitors) is going on

Lessons learned:

Equal partnership is successful in our project, with distinct role in each party.

We can focus on the achievement.

This happens due to long and fruitful collaboration between parties.

Request of advice:

Mtb growth inhibitory assay is not enough. Perhaps we need more analysis to identify best target(s). How much validation we need for the next step?

How can we propose our next activity to the GHIT?